



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/690,024	10/20/2003	David J. MacDonald	DM-3	4005		
1054	7590 03/22/	006	EXAMINER			
	TACHNER, A PI	GILBERT, V	GILBERT, WILLIAM V			
CORPORA'		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
IRVINE, C.	PARK CIRCLE, SU A 92614		3635			

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		10/690,024		MACDONALD, DAVID J.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			William V. Gilbert		3635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Massions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMI 16(a). In no event, however rill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION, may a reply be tim (6) MONTHS from come ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
· —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition			al matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requireme	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ie Examiner	·.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u> </u>	epted or b) object	ted to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the o	drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correcti	on is required if the d	rawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	aminer. Note the at	tached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•		-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority			• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•	-		d in this National	Stage		
* 0	application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action			-	al .			
	see the attached detailed Office actic	on tot a list t	or the certified copie	s not receive	u.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Inte	erview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Par	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)		Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/690,024

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rovtar (U.S. Patent No. 6,826,878 B1).
- 2. Regarding Claim 1, Rovtar discloses in Figure 2 an adjustment apparatus (Figure 2, generally) having an adjustment tube (110) having a threaded radial surface terminating in a bearing surface (114), a support member (82) having a threaded aperture receiving the threaded radial surface of the adjustment tube (80), and a tool having an elongated portion and engaging said adjustment tube (Column 5, lines 14-16).
- 3. Regarding Claim 2, Rovtar discloses in Figure 2 where the bearing surface comprises a flat washer (126).

Application/Control Number: 10/690,024 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

4. Regarding Claim 3, Rovtar discloses in Figure 2 a second tube concentrically located outside said adjustment tube and affixed to said support member (82).

- 5. Regarding Claim 4, Rovtar discloses in Figure 2 an adjustment tube comprising at least one slot for receiving said tool (122) and the tool having at least one tip for insertion into said slot (Column 5, lines 14-16).
- 6. Regarding Claim 5, only the apparatus is claimed.

 The method of attaching it to the frame is given no patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3635

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Résolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chenoweth (U.S. Patent No.
 5,477,644) in view of Rovtar.
- Regarding Claims 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, Chenoweth discloses in Figure 7 an adjustable metal frame (Column 2, lines 62-65), and an adjustment apparatus (6) in alignment with a bolt hole (7), but does not disclose a spacer device having a bearing surface extending from a threaded tube as provided in Rovtar (See Rovtar Figure 2, elements 110, 114 and 126). Further Rovtar discloses a tool configured for engaging the threaded tube (Column 5, lines 14-16) with a support member affixed to the frame member having a threaded aperture receiving said threaded tube (Rovtar 80, 82), a bearing surface comprising a flat washer (Rovtar 126), a second tube concentrically located outside said threaded tube and affixed to said support member (Rovtar 82), at least one slot (Rovtar 122), and a tool with at least one tip for insertion into said slot (Rovtar, column 5, lines 14-16). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the metal

Application/Control Number: 10/690,024

Art Unit: 3635

frame of Chenoweth to use the adjustment apparatus of Rovtar.

One would have been motivated to make such a modification

because Rovtar's adjustment mechanism is functionally equivalent to Chenoweth's and would perform equally as well.

Page 5

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Kennedy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5, 240,349) and Richter (U.S. Patent No. 3, 685, 226).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William V. Gilbert whose telephone number is 571.272.9055. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571.272.6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,024

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WVG W/G 03/17/06

> Carl D: Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

Page 6